Burn Injury Management, Pathophysiology and Its Future Prospectives
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ABSTRACT

For intensive care physicians, burns are a frequent and challenging patient complication. Specialist facilities prioritise patient stabilisation, infection prevention, and functional rehabilitation to the greatest extent possible. Researchers have been focusing on burns for decades, and thanks to their efforts, the mortality rate for burn patients, especially young patients and those with moderate burns, has been steadily declining. However, the intensivist often faces challenges that make it hard to provide care and stabilise patients. There may be unique complications associated with burn wounds that necessitate either delayed treatment or prolonged rehabilitation. Improvements in patient stabilisation and treatment have resulted from advances made in burn wound care thanks to research. This article discusses recent advances in the treatment of burn patients, focusing on the pathophysiology of burns and the management of burn wounds.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Burn on To physicians working in the critical care unit, burns are a common and difficult patient consequence. Patient stabilisation, infection prevention, and functional rehabilitation are given top priority at specialised facilities. After decades of study, the mortality rate for burn patients (particularly those under the age of 35 and those with mild burns) has been progressively decreasing. On the other hand, the intensivist faces obstacles that make it difficult to deliver care and stabilise patients1. Wounds sustained from fires have their own set of potential consequences that may require additional time spent in recovery. Progress in burn wound care as a result of research has allowed for better patient stabilisation and treatment. The pathophysiology of burns and the treatment of burn wounds are the main topics of discussion in this article, along with current developments in burn patient care. Underappreciated as a potentially life-altering experience, serving on a jury is something that can happen to anyone, anywhere. Most burn injuries result from contact with hot liquids or solids or from fire, although they can also be caused by friction or extremes of temperature or by radiation, chemicals, or electricity. All burn injuries involve energy transfer, which leads to tissue destruction, although different physiological and pathophysiological reactions can be attributed to different sources. Cald injuries (i.e., those caused by hot liquids or steam) initially tend to look more superficial due to the quick dilution of the source and energy, but burns
generated by a flame or hot grease can inflict instant and severe damage\(^3\). Tissue damaged by an acid burn undergoes coagulation necrosis, whereas that damaged by an alkaline chemical undergoes colliquative necrosis (where the tissue is transformed into a liquid, viscous mass) (whereby the architecture of the dead tissue can be preserved). Though the magnitude of electric field strength (amperes and tissue resistance) is directly proportional to the extent of tissue damage in electrical injuries, voltage is typically employed to explain the conditions of injuries instead. Electrical injuries are unique in that they can cause extensive damage to the underlying tissue in addition to the superficial wound. Thermally damaging effects can likewise be caused by the cold. Frostbite can be caused by a variety of factors, such as direct cellular damage caused by water crystallisation in tissue and indirect injury caused by ischaemia and reperfusion\(^4,5\). There is also necrosis of the skin and other deeper tissues as a result of these routes. Treatment for burns is based on the underlying medical condition that triggered the injury. While moist rewarming, possible thrombolysis, and vigilant observation are the go-tos for treating frostbite, they would be counterproductive for treating deep thermal burns. In addition to identifying the cause, classifying a burn in jury by its severity, depth, and size is essential\(^6\). First-degree burns (Fig. 1) are those that don’t penetrate deeper than the epidermis, causing just a reddening of the skin and little discomfort. Even though pain, bleeding, dressing and wound care, and possible scarring are all symptoms of superficial partial thickness second degree burns (2A burns), surgery is not required in these cases. Deep partial-thickness (second-degree) burns, formerly known as 2B burns, are less painful, drier, require surgery, and leave scars because the pain receptors are partially eliminated. Full-thickness (third-degree) burns affect the dermis and the underlying nerve endings. These burns need to be kept clean and free of infection, and in most cases will require surgery\(^7\). However, fourth-degree burns cause significant tissue loss and often harm to deeper structures like muscle and bone. The majority of patients with superficial and superficial partial-thickness burns may not require surgical intervention, but more severe burns require cautious therapy, which may involve topical antimicrobial dressings or surgery\(^8\). It is crucial to categorise burns as either minor or significant. As a general rule, burns that affect less than 10% of the skin’s total surface area (TBSA) are considered mild. However, the threshold for what constitutes a “substantial burn” is often ill-defined. Burns of >10% total body surface area (TBSA) in the elderly, >20% TBSA in adults, and >30% TBSA in children are used as parameters for grading the severity of burn injuries. Besides skin damage, burn victims may also suffer from internal organ damage and smoke inhalation\(^9,10\).

Fig: 1 The severity of the burn has a major impact on the patient’s treatment requirements, especially those associated with surgery; in general, the deeper the burn, the more difficult it is to have favourable scar outcomes.

First-degree burns, which affect only the epidermis and have a superficial depth, are superficial in nature, extremely painful, and heal without scarring. They are also non-invasive, meaning they can be done without an operation. Second-degree burns, also known as partial thickness burns, penetrate the dermis and cause painful blistering. These burns can range from superficial partial thickness, which is homogeneous in appearance, moist, hyperaemic, and blanches, to deep partial thickness, which is less sensitive, drier, and possibly has a reticular pattern to the erythema, and does not blanch. Burns of the third and fourth degrees rarely, if ever, cause pain at first.
Pathophysiology

By definition, burns are injuries to the skin, which can affect either the thicker, deeper dermis or the thinner, outer epidermis. Burns can manifest in many distinct ways. Chemical burns can be broken down into two categories: acid burns and alkali burns. Since alkali burns cause the skin to liquefy, the burns often go deeper than other types of burns (liquefaction necrosis). Deep tissue damage from acid burns is mitigated by the coagulation it causes (coagulation necrosis). In addition to externally visible damage, such as superficial entry or exit wounds, electrical burns can also cause substantial internal organ damage and secondary traumatic injuries. The majority of burns are thermal, and they are the most common type. Most burns are minor, superficial, and limited in scope. However, severe burns can cause more extensive tissue damage and may trigger a systemic reaction in certain people.

Fig: 2: Pathophysiology of burn injuries

II. TYPES OF BURN

Thermal burn:

Interaction with extremely high temperatures, such as those present in flames, steam, or hot liquids, can cause serious skin damage known as thermal burns. Most burns are relatively minor and can be treated without having to admit the patient to the hospital. Roughly 6.5% of burned patients receive treatment at dedicated burn centres. Patients are evaluated for transfer and treatment at burn centres based on a number of factors, including the area of their bodies that was burned, the severity of their burns, and any other relevant medical history, such as their age or history of other injuries.

Fig: 3: Child victims of scald burns and contact burns from a hot iron.

Skin, the largest organ in the body, is composed of the epidermis (which covers the underlying dermis), the dermis (which is further split into the upper papillary dermis and the lower reticular dermis), and the hypodermis (which covers the subcutaneous fat and connective tissue).

Within the pathophysiology of thermal burns, both local and systemic reactions can be recognised. When excessive heat is given to the skin, a local reaction occurs at the point of contact and spreads outward in three distinct zones. The zone of coagulation is the region of highest contact damage, and it is where cell death, denaturation of extracellular matrix proteins, and circulation damage all occur. Poor blood flow near the coagulation zone creates a second, adjacent area of stasis. The third peripheral hyperemic zone develops when the body attempts to repair itself by increasing circulation. Stasis zones can recover with proper resuscitation and wound care. However, continuous hypotension and subpar wound care can turn the zone of stasis and even the zone of hyperemia into a much wider and more extensive area of tissue destruction.

It's possible that a burn's aftermath will cause a very robust response from the body. During a severe burn, two clinically significant processes occur. The release of systemic inflammatory mediators and cytokines leads to increased capillary permeability and broad extravasation of fluid and proteins from the intravascular to the extravascular zone. As a result, the patient may develop symptoms of severe sepsis and septic shock, including hypotension, pulmonary edema, poor end-organ perfusion, and a further weakening of the integument.

The second surgery is necessary due to actual skin deterioration. Controlling body temperature and fluid balance are only two of the many important roles that the skin plays in homeostasis. Additionally, it serves as a physical barrier against infection and a contact point for the interpretation of our environment. When this vital organ loses too much of its surface area, these essential processes can no longer be carried out.
A large amount of carbon dioxide can be produced when there is an internal flash and flame burn. Creating both intracellular and extracellular hypoxia, carbon dioxide impairs oxidative respiration as it displaces oxygen from haemoglobin and attaches to proteins in the cytochrome oxidase pathway. CO₂ binds to haemoglobin 40 times more strongly than oxygen does²⁸. Burns to the face and supraglottis are another possible outcome of smoke inhalation, however true burns to the lower respiratory tract and subglottis are unusual due to the protective mechanism of reflexive vocal chord closure. Combustion byproducts can cause bronchospasm, localised or systemic inflammation, and capillary leakage due to their irritating effects on the mucosal membranes of the respiratory tract. Real inhalation injury dramatically increases the mortality rate²⁹.

A burn wound is considered healed if the epithelium has fully reformed over the injury. The epithelization of open wounds occurs in two ways: either by circumferential ingrowth from the intact epithelium at the margin, or by ingrowth from basal cells in underlying dermal appendages like hair follicles and sebaceous/sweat glands³⁰. Deeper burns are more influential on wound healing because without dermal appendages, epithelialization must rely on circumferential development from the wound perimeter, a more slower process.

The mildest form of burn injury is called a first degree burn, and it includes both the skin and the underlying tissue. There is no damage to the dermal papillae or nerve terminals because they are safely encased beneath the epidermis. A minor burn will heal in three to five days with minimal care and leave minimal scars. In contrast to superficial partial-thickness burns, which include just the papillary dermis and cause very little nerve and dermal appendage damage, deep partial-thickness burns involve the deeper reticular dermis and cause extensive nerve and dermal appendage damage³¹. Pigmentary alterations are possible, however most people who have had superficial partial burns make a full recovery within a week to ten days with minimal scarring. Burns that are three to five times deeper than the skin's surface take much longer to heal, on average between three and five weeks, and leave behind noticeable scarring and scar contracture. Epithelialization from deep partial burns can occur exclusively along the cut margin. Third-degree burns, also called full-thickness burns, impact both the epidermis and the dermis, leading to extensive scarring and contractures, as well as lengthy healing times³². A fourth-degree burn destroys not only the outer layer of skin but also the subcutaneous fat and, in rare cases, the underlying muscle and bone. Intensive debridements, dermal autografts, and even amputations may be required to treat them.

**Electrical injuries**

Electrical injuries are a common type of mechanical trauma that can result in significant morbidity and mortality, and they can be caused by lightning, low voltage, or high voltage traumas. Most electrical burns occur by accident and may be prevented. Damage from an electrical injury may not be fatal right away, but it might lead to complications in other bodily systems³³.

Injuries caused by electricity can be divided into four categories: those caused by a flash, by flames, by lightning, and by actual electrical currents. Surface burns are common in arc flash injuries because the electricity does not penetrate the skin. Arc flashes cause flame injuries by setting fire to nearby fabric; in these cases, electrical current may or may not penetrate the skin. Lightning injuries are caused by extremely high-voltage, extremely short bursts of electricity that travel through the victim's entire body³⁴. When a person becomes part of an electrical circuit, true electrical injuries occur. When this occurs, it's common to find a way in and out.

Current is proportional to voltage and directly proportional to resistance, as stated by Ohm's Law. All three play a role in the pathophysiology of electrical burns and their symptoms. The degree and pattern of harm are affected by factors such as the position of the body in respect to the direction of current entering the body and the duration of exposure to current³⁵.

**Fig: 4 Management of electric Burn**

**Type of Current**

Low-frequency alternating current (AC) causes more severe tissue damage than high-frequency AC or direct current (DC). As a result of the low-frequency AC's persistent local muscle contraction (flexor muscles are more active than extensor muscles at the region of contact with the electrical source), the victim is often unable to free themselves from the potentially lethal object³⁶-³⁹. The prevalence of AC-related injuries is likely related to the widespread usage of the technology to heat and cool buildings.

Electric current (DC) causes a sudden, forceful muscle contraction, often enough to knock the target away from the power source. Lightning strikes and contact with a car battery are the two most common causes of direct current (DC) injuries. It's important to remember that the risk of death or serious injury from a lightning strike depends on a number of factors, such as whether the victim was exposed to the lightning from a direct impact or from a strike to a tree, building, or patch of land nearby⁴⁰.
Power and current

A burn's voltage might be high or low, depending on the severity of the injury. Over 500-1000 volts causes severe burns, extensive deep tissue damage, and organ damage. In most cases, low voltage exposure causes less severe injuries. Most American homes use electricity with a voltage between 110 and 220 volts, which can cause muscle tetany and, if the patient is unable to release the source, prolonged contact with the electricity\textsuperscript{35}. An external stimulus of 60 to 100 milliamps of low-frequency AC or 300 to 500 milliamps of DC can induce ventricular fibrillation. Using an internal source (pacemaker) and less than 1 milliamp of current, ventricular fibrillation can be induced.

Resistance

Since electricity always takes the path of least resistance, it always does the most damage to the most conductive tissues. When it comes to human tissues, skin is the toughest, followed by bone. All things considered, the body's circulatory system, muscles, and nerves present the least amount of resistance. Muscle has a far lower resistance than dry tissues, lending credence to this theory (skin)\textsuperscript{32}. More widespread burns result from increased skin resistance. Internal burns are more severe since the skin has a poorer resistance to damage. No matter how dry or wet the skin is, electricity can easily pass through it and on to the less resistant tissues beneath. Consequently, even minor burns on the skin's surface can cause significant damage to the body's internal tissues and organs\textsuperscript{33}.

Injuries Caused by Chemicals

It is estimated that each year in the United States, there are 1.2 million burn injury victims and 2 million fire accidents. Seventy-five percent of these wounds are so mild that patients can be treated without being committed to the hospital, whereas around fifty thousand patients each year are admitted to large burn centres because of their severe burns\textsuperscript{34}. Despite a clear downward trend in the industrialised world, burns continue to be one of the most common types of injuries, accounting for a significant portion of trauma cases in hospital emergencies around the world. They are still a leading cause of death and disability worldwide. As a result of the medical community's awareness of the devastating effects of burns, significant resources and research have been dedicated to successfully reducing these terrible statistics\textsuperscript{35}. Hospitalizations and deaths caused by burns have dropped by half in the United States during the past 20 years, according to recent statistics. Effective preventative measures have reduced burn occurrence and severity while cutting down on burn-related morbidities. Chemical burns vary in severity depending on many parameters, including acidity/alkalinity, concentration, form, quantity of touch, duration of exposure, and location of contact\textsuperscript{36-39}. Contact with a mucosal surface, such as the eye, is likely to result in quicker and more severe harm than contact with intact skin, where there may be some barrier protection. Accidental or intentional ingestion will result in direct and absorptive toxicity due to the rapid contact with the mucosal surface\textsuperscript{40}.

Toxins typically consist of alkalis, acids, and irritants such alcohols. Cleaning supplies, fertilisers, caustic soda, drain cleaners, and calcium hydroxide in cement and plaster all contain alkalis like ammonia or ammonium hydroxide\textsuperscript{41}. Tissues are dissolved by alkalis because they generate hydroxyl ions, which cause the saponification of fatty acids in cell membranes (converting fat/oil into salts of fatty acids). To put it another way, alkalis are both water- and fat-loving. Hydrolysis of intercellular glycosaminoglycans leads to greater disruption of the extracellular matrix, thickening of collagen lamellae, and increased susceptibility of the tissue to enzymatic degradation. Because of these factors, the alkali is able to more deeply and widely permeate its target\textsuperscript{42}. Magnesium hydroxide, which is commonly present in fireworks, can be lethal due to the chemical and thermal consequences it produces. Fortunately, calcium salts are generated as soon as lime, the most common cause of alkali damage, penetrates the cell membrane. These accumulate into deposits that defend against further invasion and damage. However, any remaining lime particles in the fornice of the alkali and cause serious damage if they are not located and removed immediately\textsuperscript{43}. When precipitates are dissolved by using aqueous-based irrigation solutions, hydroxyl ions are released, which might cause additional damage.

Common acids associated to eye damage include sulfuric acid, found in car batteries, hydrochloric acid, found in pool disinfectants, nitric acid, found in dyes, and acetic acid, found in vinegar\textsuperscript{44}. Sulfuric acid causes the vast majority of burns and other damage. The tear film and tissue water combined with the acids creates heat, further charring the corneal and conjunctival epithelium. Because of the acid's effects, collagen contracts and tissue coagulates. Ocular surface proteins bind to acids to act as a buffer and prevent additional acid penetration\textsuperscript{45}.

Hydrofluoric acid, which can be found in rust removers and metal cleaners, and trifluoroacetic acid, which has a number of industrial applications, are two notable exceptions. Because of their low molecular weight, weak solutions of these acids can travel great distances before they begin to separate. They form insoluble salts when combined with calcium and magnesium, but they form soluble salts when combined with other cations\textsuperscript{46}. Soluble salts break down rapidly, releasing more fluoride ions that accelerate tissue deterioration and delay the onset of symptoms and signs. As a result, these acids cause damage to tissue in two distinct ways: first, by corrosive burn from free hydrogen ions, and second, through chemical burn from penetrating fluoride ions\textsuperscript{47}.

Among chemical injuries, de-epithelialization of the ocular surface is among the least severe and, once healed, usually has no effect on vision. Irritating substances, such as alcohols and common household cleansers, are to blame.
If the agent has been ingested or inhaled, it can cause difficulties with the digestive system and the respiratory system, including laryngeal oedema, which can be fatal if not treated immediately. Calorie and electrolyte imbalances can cause systemic toxicity because calcium and magnesium are essential for cellular and enzyme function. Fatal cardiac arrhythmias can occur as a result of hypocalcaemia and the resulting hyperkalemia.

**Timing of first interaction**

The depth of penetration and, by extension, the severity of damage, are affected by factors such as the duration of contact, the size of the surface area affected, and the nature of the agent. Depending on the depth of penetration, damage can extend beyond the cornea to include the conjunctival epithelium, substantia propria, Tenon's capsule, episcleral, and sclera. Any more infiltration can cause damage to the iris and lens. Damage to the vascular network of the limbus, known as "limbal ischaemia," causes the loss of limbal stem cells; scleral and uveal ischaemia increase this damage. Injury to the ciliary body, such as ischaemia or deformation of the trabecular meshwork brought on by the shortening and shrinking of the collagen fibrils, can lead to hypotony or an increase in intraocular pressure. When the ciliary epithelium is damaged by any chemical, the levels of glucose and ascorbate in the aqueous humour decrease significantly. When the pH of an aqueous solution is kept artificially high for an extended period of time over 11.5, collagen production is inhibited, leading to irreversible hypotony and phthisis. The concentration of ascorbic acid in aqueous humour is roughly 15 times higher than that in plasma. Ascobic acid distribution immediately increases aqueous ascorbate levels; intravenous administration is preferable.

Chemical agents almost never cause retinal damage indirectly. Anterior segment features such the ocular surface, iris, ciliary body, and lens react with substances that deactivate them and serve as buffers for intraocular penetration. Changes in pH, oxygen, and oxidation-reduction levels occur only in the cornea and anterior chamber, leading to significant uveal inflammation and the production of pro-inflammatory cytokines. Damage to the retina occurs due to their rapid spread to the back of the eye. Tumor necrosis factor has been identified as a key pro-inflammatory mediator of retinal ganglion cell death. Abrupt hypotony or excessive intraocular pressure are two other causes of retinal damage.

In extreme cases of chemical injury, the entire limbus, which covers 360 degrees, is destroyed and sloughs off. Even after severe injury, limbal stem cells have been shown to frequently survive and gradually atrophy over the course of several weeks. Evidence that surviving stem cells are involved in wound healing is provided by a transient increase in cells containing stem cell markers. Chronic inflammation and infiltration of inflammatory cells into the limbal stroma leads to fibrosis and the progressive loss of the niche's anatomy and physiology, ultimately resulting in the symptoms of limbal stem cell deficiency.
surrounding a bar magnet” (Fig. 5d). After the epithelium has healed, its desmosomes and hemidesmosomes will return to their normal shapes and sizes64-65.

Burn patients' complications during the process of healing

All burns leave scars, even minor ones, and only procedures like plastic surgery can help lessen the appearance of these scars. According to research conducted by Gangemi et al., two of the most common methods used to reduce burn scars are excision and covering with autologous skin grafts. According to a recent systematic review of the incidence of hypertrophic scarring in burn patients, the scarring prevalence is anything from 32% to 72%. In contrast to hypertrophic scars, which stay contained within the wound and eventually heal, keloids grow and spread beyond the confines of the original burn site; they can be unpleasant and itchy, and they never go away on their own66-68. According to studies that have focused mostly on hypertrophic and keloid scarring, these conditions provide the greatest challenges for post-burn patients and significantly diminish the quality of life of burn survivors. Scarring after a burn injury, of any severity, is guaranteed by the research of Goel and Shrivastava. They can be fully developed, still developing, unstable, or overly pigmented. Hypertrophic scars, hypertrophic scars with contractures, and pure contractures make up 77% of all pathological scars, according to the results of another study69-70.

When skin tightens around a wound to lessen the exposed area, a condition called as contracture development takes place. It has been found that the length of hospitalisation and the degree of the burn lesion are the two most important criteria in determining the severity of the resulting contracture. This post-burn complication is commonly seen in burn patients in Pakistan, as shown by the research conducted by Saaq et al. 43 Poor initial management of burn wounds, including failure to commence adequate surgery, physiotherapy, and antideformity splinting, is a common cause of early contracture formation, as shown in their study71-73. Studies by Jeschke et al. indicated that post-burn patients experienced persistent inflammation and metabolic changes for up to three years after the initial burn injury. Cytokines such as interleukin (IL)-6, IL-8, granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), macrophage colony-stimulating factor (MCF), and acute-phase proteins are markedly enhanced in burn patients both before and after hospitalisation. Another study indicated that chronic inflammation is a continuing problem for burn patients74-76. Muscle wasting, defined as the loss of at least 5-10% of total muscle mass, is a typical physiological consequence in burn victims. Increased protein breakdown and reduced protein synthesis are two of the causes of hypermetabolism. Reducing muscle catabolism due to burns requires strict regulation of post-burn hypermetabolism. A larger burn wound area, the patient's increased weight, and a postponement of surgery all enhance the risk of muscle catabolism, as shown in the study by Hart et al. Williams et al. discovered that burn patients with elevated catecholamine production had significantly elevated heart rate, stroke volume, cardiac work, rate pressure product, myocardial oxygen consumption, and cardiac index, and that these abnormal values persisted for up to two years after the burn injury77-79.

Oxidative Stress in Patients with Burns

Our findings suggest that the serum total glutathione and GSH levels both tend to drop over time in the first week following burn injury. There was, however, a time-dependent rise in oxidised glutathione levels in the blood serum within the first week following damage. Damaged body surface area plays a role in prognosis and hospitalisation, so we're also interested in any correlations between that and the redox markers we just discussed80. Our research found no correlation between serum glutathione levels and total body surface area (TBSA) of burn injuries. Charred TBSA levels and PAB concentrations were shown to be significantly and directly correlated.

![Fig: 6 Oxidative stress caused by burn injuries and its probable causes and consequences. The oxidation of GSH to GSSG and its participation in glutathionylation are two potential pathways for its depletion in burn victims, as depicted in this diagram.](image-url)
The effects of burn injuries on redox homeostasis have been the subject of a lot of study. We were able to demonstrate here that the redox environment was severely disrupted. This was evidenced by the higher amounts of oxidised glutathione, together with the lower levels of total and reduced glutathione and the GSH/GSSG ratio in the serum. Szczesny, B. et al. results corroborate the idea that the changes were temporally related. However, the decrease in serum glutathione (GSH) concentration was more pronounced than the increase in oxidised glutathione (GSSG) seen in this investigation. This data, along with the reduced total serum glutathione levels, suggests that GSH is likely recruited during burn injury to protect cellular and extracellular proteins from irreversible oxidations, notably the production of sulfonic and sulfenic acid, by glutathionylation of these proteins (figure 6). Since this post-translational modification is reversible, proteins can continue to function after the acute period of damage control.

III. FUTURE PROSPECTIVE

Adult burn patients exhibited low vitamin A serum levels and elevated inflammatory stress markers despite typical oxidative stress symptoms. Polypropylene acid and retinol can accelerate wound healing, and the limited preclinical research suggests that retinoids are useful in treating a variety of other skin disorders as well. In addition, pilot clinical studies have shown that retinoid therapy greatly increases scar pliability. All of these results point to the need for studies on how retinoids affect burn wound healing.

Although pyretic and antihelminthic in nature, pirfenidone has been found to have additional beneficial effects in recent years, including those of an anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, and antiproliferative drug. In animal models and cultured cells, pirfenidone inhibits the growth of fibrous tissue by decreasing collagen deposition and fibroblast proliferation. Idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis can be treated with pirfenidone in the United States, Japan, and Europe. Based on its antifibrotic qualities and other findings, pirfenidone has the potential to modify the tissue response to injury across the wound healing process, hence reducing scarring and acting as an adjuvant for abnormal wound healing. Controlled clinical trials are needed to evaluate the safety and efficacy of pirfenidone on aberrant wound healing, despite ongoing preclinical studies in rabbits and rats.

Even though hyperbaric oxygen therapy for burns has been the subject of research since the 1960s and has gained some traction since then, concerns about its costs and risks remain. Recent studies in mice models show that hyperbaric oxygen aids in the healing process of burn injuries and reduces the visibility of scars. Hyperbaric oxygen is safe and effective for increasing burn wound healing, and controlled human clinical trials are beginning to provide evidence of this. This has led to a decrease in the overall cost of treatment. Further research is needed before broad conclusions can be made on the efficacy of hyperbaric oxygen in treating burns. There are several angles that will be explored in future research on the care of burn victims. The tremendous improvements in patient care over the past few decades have made it increasingly difficult to make further major gains in patient survival rates; the current survival rate for burn patients is around 97%. The ability to predict patient outcomes and tailor care to maximise functional recovery are two areas where personalised health care might use improvement. Improved psychological treatment, wound closure, and general health are also essential for a smooth return to society after separation. Biomarker, stem cell, transplant, inflammation control, and rehabilitation studies will lead to improved treatment options.

IV. CONCLUSION

Acute thermal burns provide a number of therapeutic challenges in terms of reducing the duration of stay (and associated treatment costs), risk of infection, time to wound closure, and time to full functional recovery. In the past few decades, the way burn wounds are treated has evolved thanks to preclinical and clinical studies. Improvements in patient care have allowed for more precise monitoring of wound healing, the introduction of innovative graft and coverage options, the regulation of inflammation, the optimization of nutritional needs, and the testing of novel pharmaceutical therapies. These efforts have improved patients’ chances of survival while decreasing their hospital stays, which has saved money for both them and their healthcare providers.

REFERENCES


