

Growth and Survival of Chilgoza Seedlings as Influenced by Different Types and Size of Sowing Media

Abdul Matin Noori¹, Hedayatullah Salari², Khanzad Gul Zazai³ and Mohammad Ismael Larawai⁴

¹Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Agriculture Faculty, Paktia University, AFGHANISTAN.

²Department of Horticulture, Agriculture Faculty, Paktia University, AFGHANISTAN.

³Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Agriculture Faculty, Paktia University, AFGHANISTAN.

⁴Department of Forestry and Natural Resources, Agriculture Faculty, Paktia University, AFGHANISTAN.

¹Corresponding Author: abdulmatinnoori66@gmail.com



www.jrasb.com || Vol. 3 No. 1 (2024): February Issue

Received: 21-01-2024

Revised: 22-01-2024

Accepted: 29-01-2024

ABSTRACT

Chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall.) is an important but endangered tree species in Afghanistan. Due to poor natural regeneration, seed-related dormancy and anthropogenic factors, the IUCN put this species on the red list. Therefore, this study was undertaken to investigate the effect of growing medium and polybag sizes on seedling growth and survivability. In this study, four growing media of soil (So), compost (Co), forest soil (Fs) and sand (Sa), viz., M₁ (So + Co + Fs); M₂ (Fs + Co + Sa); M₃ (So + Fs + Sa); and M₄ (Sa + Co + Fs) and three polybag sizes including P₁ (16 cm×16 cm), P₂ (18 cm×18 cm), and P₃ (21 cm×26 cm) were used and arranged in the frame of 4×3 factorial design to assess their effects on seedling growth and survivability. The results revealed that among different growing media treatments, higher seedling growth parameters and survival rate percentage were observed on treatment M₄ (Sand + Compost + Forest Soil). Seedling growth parameters and survivability were significantly affected by polybag size, and the highest seedling growth parameters and survival rate were obtained for Large Polybag size (P₃).

Keywords- sowing media, polybag, survivability, seedling growth, chilgoza.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pinus gerardiana is the most economically and ecologically important tree species in Afghanistan, commonly known as Chilgoza, chalthoza, pine nuts, and Himalayan pine nuts [5]. Chilgoza is one of the 110 pine species of the *Pinus* genus, found at 1800 to 3350 m elevation in the temperate forests of the Himalayan region [7]. It produces edible kernels that are rich in many essential nutrients such as proteins, carbohydrates, fats, minerals, and fibers [20]. Fresh kernels contain sugars (4.07%), proteins (13.03%), oils (52.15%) and moisture (25.36%) [13].

Furthermore, Chilgoza plays an important role in the socio-economic development of the local communities and the national economy of Afghanistan; the livelihood of approximately 120,600 households directly depends on the Chilgoza forest. Each family obtains 444-555 USD income per year from this species

[18]. Poor natural regeneration, seed-related dormancy, and other anthropogenic factors cause the degradation of the species ([17,8,9,19,3]. On the other hand, seedling growth and its survivability in ex-situ are too low even uneconomical. Usually, most soils, especially the soil of pine forests, have mycorrhizae and colonize the roots of many plants. Mycorrhizae are structures resulting from the symbiosis between these fungi and plant roots and directly contribute to the mineral nutrition of plants. The symbiotic relationship between root and fungus increases the absorption of essential nutrients [16] Mycorrhiza helps pine seedlings to survive [12]. Different factors affect seed seedling growth [10]. Therefore, it is important to improve the seedling growth and survivability of this species. The type and size of the growth medium are important factors for seedling growth and survivability. seedling growth are greatly affected by the sowing medium and GA₃ [3]. Also Soil media has important effects on plant growth and survival [11].

Chilgoza pine seedlings require adequate drainage in nurseries [9]. Based on the latest research, soil media, and growth-promoting chemicals can improve however, the size of the media is also important to investigate and define the proper type and size of the growing media.

II. MATERIALS AND METHOD

2.1 Study area:

The study was conducted during 2021–2022, in the research farm of the agriculture faculty of Paktia University, Gardez City, Paktia, Afghanistan, which is located at 33° 38 48 N, 69 13 56 E, and 2390m altitude. This area is far from the natural chigoza forests and is also lower than it in terms of height, in this study, we used a completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications.

2.1.1 Treatment properties:

Two factors were included in this experiment. The first factor was sowing medium, with four

combinations: ground soil: compost: forest soil (M₁), ground soil: compost: sand (M₂), ground soil: forest soil: sand (M₃), and sand: compost: forest soil (M₄). Second factor was polybag size: P₁(16×16), P₂(18×18), and P₃(21×21).

Forest soil: Forest soil was collected from Satto Kondow forest from a depth of 0-30 cm.

Seeds: Seeds were collected from the forest area of the province. Seeds were sorted and graded based on their healthiness, size and physical properties, respectively. Healthy seeds were sown in polybags filled with the prepared sowing media. Irrigation was performed using a hand sprinkler.

2.1.1.1 Studied parameters and data collection:

In this study seed seedling growth parameters and seedling survivability were measured, Seedling growth parameters and their survivability were measured at the end of the growing season in the ninth month after sowing, more details regarding growth parameters are presented in Table 1.

Table 1: Survivability and seedling growth parameters, formulas, and units

Studied parameters	Calculation formula	Unit of Measurement	Used References
survivability	Survival rate% = $\frac{\text{number of survived seedling}}{\text{number of germinated seeds}} \times 100$	%	[15]
Collar diameter	Digital clipper	mm	[4]
Shoot Length	Ruler	cm	[4]
Shoot fresh Weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Root fresh weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Shoot dry weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]
Root dry weight	Precision scale	gr	[4]

The first germination of seeds was observed and recorded 21 days after seed sowing and continued up to the 48th day, after 9 months of sowing, survival rate was calculated from completing date of germination and seedlings were removed from polybags in order to measurement of some growth parameters. Root and shoot dry weights were assessed after oven drying at 68 °C for 48 h.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variance tables showed that survival rate was affected by growing media and poly bag size

(Tables 3). and seedling growth was significantly affected by both growing media and poly bag size (Tables 2). Highest survivability was observed in M₄(sand: compost: forest soil) media, and large polybag size P₃ (26 cm × 21 cm). However, survival rate decreased in M₁ (soil: compost: forest soil) medium and small poly bag size P₁ (26 cm × 21 cm), (Table 5). Seedling growth parameters were significantly affected by media and polybag size and were higher in M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) and P₃ (26 cm × 21 cm) polybag sizes (Table 4).

Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for growing media (M), polybag size (P), and their interaction (M×P) for seedling growth parameters.

SOV	DF	MS								
		Diameter	Seedling Height	Seedling Fresh Weight	Root Fresh Weight	Seedling Dry Weight	Root Dry Weight	Root : Shoot ratio	Sturdiness Quotient	Seedling Quality Index
M	3	0.3188**	0.4016*	0.0098 ^{ns}	0.0087*	0.0119*	0.0022*	0.0043 ^{ns}	0.1159 ^{ns}	0.0011**
P	2	0.5**	0.61*	0.02 ^{ns}	0.02**	0.02**	0.0025*	0.01 ^{ns}	0.44 ^{ns}	0.002**

M×P	6	0.2916**	0.3414*	0.056**	0.002 ^{ns}	0.003 ^{ns}	0.0005 ^{ns}	0.0054 ^{ns}	1.2524*	0.0005
Error	24	0.0611	0.1224	0.0134	0.0024	0.0030	0.0007	0.0067	0.4518	0.0002

*, **, and ^{ns} show significant differences at 5% and 1% levels of probability and non-significance, respectively.

Table 3: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for growing media (M), polybag size (P), and their interaction (M×P) for seed survivability.

SOV	DF	Mean Squares (MS)	
		Survival rate (%)	
M	3	267**	
P	2	149.1**	
M×P	6	127.6 ^{ns}	
Error	24	289.3	

*, **, and ^{ns} show significant differences at 5% and 1% levels of probability and non-significance, respectively.

3.1 Effect of Growing Medium

Collar diameter, root dry weight, and seedling quality index in M4 (sand: compost: forest soil) growing medium were significantly higher than those in other growing media. Shoot length, root fresh weight, and shoot

dry weight were statistically similar in M4 (sand: compost: forest soil) and M3 (soil: forest soil: sand). Shoot fresh weight, Root: Shoot ratio and sturdiness quotient were not significantly different in the different growing media (Table 4).

Table 4. Effect of growing medium and polybag size on seedling growth of *Pinus gerardiana*

Growing media and polybag size	Diameter	Seedling height	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Root: shoot ratio	Sturdiness quotient	Seedling quality index
Soil: compost: forest soil	1.62 b	5.11 b	0.69 a	0.44 b	0.48 b	0.30 b	0.62 a	3.29 a	0.10 b
Soil: compost: sand	1.62 b	5.22 b	0.66 a	0.47 ab	0.51 b	0.31 b	0.61 a	3.27 a	0.10 b
Soil: forest soil: sand	1.67 b	5.33 ab	0.71 a	0.47 ab	0.53 ab	0.30 b	0.58 a	3.22 a	0.11 b
Sand: compost: forest soil	2.01 a	5.60 a	0.64 a	0.51 a	0.57 a	0.33 a	0.58 a	3.04 a	0.13 a
P1(16cm*16cm)	1.53 b	5.08 b	0.65 a	0.44 b	0.49 b	0.30 b	0.62 a	3.41 a	0.10 b
P2(18cm*18cm)	1.72 b	5.33 ab	0.65 a	0.45 b	0.51 b	0.31 ab	0.61 a	3.16 a	0.11 b
P3(21cm*26cm)	1.94 a	5.53 a	0.73 a	0.52 a	0.57 a	0.32 a	0.56 a	3.03 a	0.12

In M₄ (sand: compost: forest soil) growing media, had the highest survival rate of 55.78% than the other

treatments of sowing medium, M₁ (sand: compost: forest soil) had the lowest survival rate of 48.22% (Table 5).

Table 5. Effect of growing medium and polybag size on seedling survivability of *Pinus gerardiana*

P	Survival rate (%)				P Mean
	media				
	M1	M2	M3	M4	
p1	44.67d	52.33bc	49.67cd	50.33cd	49.25b
p2	48.33cd	47.67cd	50.33cd	57.67ab	51.00b
p3	51.67c	53.00bc	52.67bc	59.33a	54.1a
media mean	48.22 b	51.00 b	50.89 b	55.78 a	
Total mean	51.47222%				
CV	6.75%				

3.1.1 Effect of Polybag Size

The growth parameters were significantly affected by the polybag size. Collar diameter, root fresh weight, shoot dry weight, and seedling quality index were significantly affected by P3 (26 cm × 21 cm). Seedling

height and root dry weight were measured and parred in P2 and P3 polybags. Shoot fresh weight, Root: Shoot ratio and sturdiness quotient were not significant for any polybag size (Table 4).

Seedling survivability significantly affected by Polybag sizes highest survival rate obtained from large polybag size P3 (26 cm × 21 cm), (Table 5).

3.1.1.1 Interaction Effect

The collar diameter and seedling height were greater in M4 (sand: compost: forest soil) for P3 (26 cm × 21 cm) polybag size. Shoot fresh weight interaction was better in M3 (soil: forest soil: sand) for P1 (16 × 16 cm) polybag size. The sturdiness quotient was greater in M3

(soil: forest soil: sand) for P3 (26 × 21 cm) polybag size (Table 6).

The effects of the growing media and polybag size on seedling growth and seedling survivability are shown in Tables 4 and 5, respectively. Seedling survivability were observed to be greater in M4 (forest soil: compost: sand) for P3 (26 cm × 21 cm), (Table 5). However, there was no significantly (P>0.05) difference on the survival rate of interaction sowing medium and poly bag sizes.

Table 6: Interaction effect of growing medium and seed size on seedling growth of *Pinus gerardiana*

Soil media	Poly bag size	Diameter	Seedling height	Shoot fresh weight	Root fresh weight	Shoot dry weight	Root dry weight	Root: shoot ratio	Sturdiness quotient	Seedling quality index
Soil: compost: forest soil	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.50 cd	4.33 c	0.80 ab	0.40 d	0.40 d	0.27 c	0.69 a	2.94 bc	0.09 d
	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.57 bcd	5.33 ab	0.54 ef	0.45 bcd	0.49 cd	0.30 bc	0.63 ab	3.41 ab	0.10 cd
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.53 bcd	5.25 b	0.60 cdef	0.43 cd	0.49 bc	0.30 bc	0.61 ab	3.43 ab	0.10 cd
Soil: compost: sand	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.53 bcd	5.40 ab	0.66 bcdef	0.49 bc	0.58 abc	0.31 bc	0.54 b	3.86 ab	0.11 bcd
	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.93 b	5.40 ab	0.56 def	0.44 cd	0.50 bc	0.31 bc	0.62 ab	2.80 bc	0.11 bcd
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.47 cd	5.17 b	0.65 bcdef	0.45 bcd	0.49 bc	0.31 bc	0.64 ab	3.56 ab	0.10 cd
Soil: forest soil: sand	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.60 bcd	5.23 b	0.87 a	0.45 bcd	0.51 bc	0.28 bc	0.56 ab	3.28 abc	0.10 bcd
	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.87 bc	5.53 ab	0.52 f	0.46 bcd	0.53 abc	0.32 ab	0.61 ab	3.02 abc	0.12 bc
	P3(21cm*26cm)	1.43 d	5.58 ab	0.71 abcde	0.47 bcd	0.55 abc	0.31 bc	0.56 ab	4.12 a	0.10 cd
Sand: compost: forest soil	P1(16cm*16cm)	1.83 bcd	5.17 b	0.78 abc	0.49 bc	0.56 abc	0.31 bc	0.55 ab	2.83 bc	0.12 b
	P2(18cm*18cm)	1.87 bc	5.50 ab	0.67 bcdef	0.53 ab	0.58 ab	0.32 ab	0.55 ab	2.95 bc	0.12 b
	P3(21cm*26cm)	2.63 a	5.87 a	0.75 abcd	0.59 a	0.60 a	0.36 a	0.59 ab	2.23 c	0.15 a

IV. DISCUSSION

4.1 Effect of Growing Medium

Our findings showed that the seedling survival rate percentage was higher in those media treatments which had sand and forest soil as a combinational portion like M₄ (sand + compost + forest soil). In media treatments with no sand and forest soil portion, seedling survivability percentage was better in forest soil-containing treatments. This betterment in survival rate might be attributed to forest soil forest soil with mycorrhiza can be effective in absorbing nutrients and sand that in turn prepare *in situ* conditions and good aeration for seedling roots. These results are in harmony with those of [14] and [4].

Our results also showed that sand-containing treatments (M₄) resulted in higher growth parameters and seedling quality index as compared with treatments not contained sand portions. Media with sand (M₄) causes aeration leading to longer primary root and good respirational activity. Similar results have been reported by [1] in *Azadirachta indica* Nemm.

4.1.1 Effect of polybag size

Our findings regarding seedling survivability showed that polybag size had significant effects on seedling survival rate, the highest survival rate percentage obtained from large poly bag size P₃ (26 cm × 21 cm).

whilst polybag size significantly influenced seedling growth. The highest growth parameters were obtained by treatment P₃ (26 cm × 21 cm). The larger the polybag size, the higher the seedling growth. This assumed to be due to adequate space for the growth of both (above and below ground) portions. These findings are parallel with the results of [2].

4.1.1.1 Effect of interaction of container size and potting media on growth and development of seedlings

Results of this study showed that the interaction of growing media and polybag size was significantly effective on diameter and height. P₃×M₄ interaction resulted in the highest diameter and height. Large polybag size with good growing media, paves the way for good water-holding capacity, porosity, and root growth as reported by [6]. Although various interactions had different effects so the results made inconclusive. For precise estimation of interaction effects, additional studies are needed to be run in the future.

V. CONCLUSION

The results of the study conclude that seedling growth and seedling survivability of *P. gerardiana* seeds depend on growing medium and polybag size. The application of different growing mediums and the use of different polybag sizes significantly affected the seedling growth



and survivability of the chilgoza. In general, in the present study, M4 (sand: compost: forest soil) is recommended for seedling growth and seedling survival, also P3 (26 cm x 21cm) polybag size is recommended for enhancing seedling growth parameters and seedling survival percentage. So, polybag size should be selected according to the nursery space and economic prospects of the grower. For accurate estimation of the survivability of seedlings of Chilgoza, further research is needed to be done by using mycorrhiza in the growing medium.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

Authors extend the sincerest words of thanks to Associate Professor Salari for critical reviewing the manuscript. Also authors are thankful to senior students of the department of Forestry and Natural Resources Management of Paktia University for their invaluable contribution in the silvicultural practices of the project.

REFERENCES

- [1] Abebe, H. (2021). Effects of Pot Size and Planting Media on the Early Seedling Growth Performance of *Azadirachta indica* A. Juss. *Journal of Plant Sciences*, 9(4), 208-213.
- [2] Abera, B., Derero, A., Waktole, S., & Yilma, G. (2018). Effect of pot size and growing media on seedling vigour of four indigenous tree species under semi-arid climatic conditions. *Forests, trees and livelihoods*, 27(1), 61-67.
- [3] Ariez, M., Salari, H., & Zazai, K. G. (2023). Effect of Sowing Media and Gibberellic Acid on seed Germination and Seedling Growth of Chilgoza pine nut (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall). *European Journal of Biology and Biotechnology*, 4(2), 9-14.
- [4] Devaranavadi, S. B., Wali, S. Y., Patil, S. B., Jambagi, M. B., & Kambrekar, D. N. (2010). Effect of nursery mixtures on nutrient content and quality parameters of seedlings of different tree species. *International Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 6(2), 365-369.
- [5] Farjon, A. (1998). World checklist and bibliography of conifers.-v+ 298 pp. *Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, UK*.
- [6] Ilyas, K. M., Sharma, A., Wali, V. K., Bakshi, P., & Rani, S. (2014). Growth and survival of budded Kinnow plants as influenced by different types of black polybags and soil media. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 9(22), 1672-1680.
- [7] Khan, H., Akbar, M., Zaman, M., Hyder, S., Khan, M., Nafees, M. A., ... & Ali, M. (2015). Diameter size class distributions of *Pinus gerardiana* Wall. Ex D. Don from Gohar Abad Valley district Damer, Gilgit-Baltistan. *Pakistan. J. Biodivers. Environ. Sci*, 6, 50-56.
- [8] Kumar, R., Shamet, G. S., Chaturvedi, O. P., Avasthe, R. K., & Singh, C. (2013). Ecology of chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* Wall) in dry temperate forests of North West Himalaya. *Ecology, Environment & Conservation*, 19(4), 1063-1066.
- [9] Kumar, R., Shamet, G. S., Mehta, H., Alam, N. M., Kaushal, R., Chaturvedi, O. P., ... & Gupta, D. (2016). Regeneration complexities of *Pinus gerardiana* in dry temperate forests of Indian Himalaya. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 23, 7732-7743.
- [10] Kuşlu, Y., Şahin, U., Anapali, Ö., & Şahin, S. (2005). Use possibilities of pumice in cultural activities obtained from different parts of Turkey for aeration and water retention features. In *Turkey Pumice Symposium and Exhibition* (pp. 301-306).
- [11] Lakho, M. A., Jatoi, M. A., Solangi, N., Abul-Soad, A. A., Qazi, M. A., & Abdi, G. (2023). Optimizing in vitro nutrient and ex vitro soil mediums-driven responses for multiplication, rooting, and acclimatization of pineapple. *Scientific Reports*, 13(1), 1275.
- [12] Leake, J., Johnson, D., Donnelly, D., Muckle, G., Boddy, L., & Read, D. (2014). Erratum: Networks of power and influence: the role of mycorrhizal mycelium in controlling plant communities and agroecosystem functioning. *Botany*, 92(1), 83-83.
- [13] Malik, A. R., Shamet, G. S., & Butola, J. S. (2012). Natural regeneration status of chilgoza pine (*Pinus gerardiana* wall.) in Himachal Pradesh, India: an endangered pine of high edible value. *Appl Ecol Environ Res*, 10(3), 365-373.
- [14] Missanjo, E., & Thole, G. K. (2014). Growth and Survival of *Pinus* Seedlings as Influenced by Different Mycorrhizae and Ordinary Soil Ratios in a Nursery. *J Biodivers Manage Forestry* 3: 2. of, 4, 0-30.
- [15] Olasupo, F. O., Ilori, C. O., Forster, B. P., & Bado, S. (2016). Mutagenic effects of gamma radiation on eight accessions of Cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* [L.] Walp.). *American Journal of Plant Sciences*, 7(2), 339-351.
- [16] Ortas, Ibrahim. "Effect of selected mycorrhizal inoculation on phosphorus sustainability in sterile and non-sterile soils in the Harran Plain in South Anatolia." *Journal of plant nutrition* 26.1 (2003): 1-17.
- [17] Peltier, R., & Dauffy, V. (2009). The Chilgoza of Kinnaur. Influence of the *Pinus gerardiana* edible seed market chain organization on forest regeneration in the Indian Himalayas. *Fruits*, 64(2), 99-110.
- [18] Rahman, N., Salari, H., & Wiar, A. (2021). Value chain analysis of chilgoza pine nut at southeastern region of Afghanistan. *European Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*, 3(4), 43-49.
- [19] Shalizi, M. N., Khurram, S., Groninger, J. W., Ruffner, C. M., & Burney, O. T. (2018). Indigenous knowledge and stand characteristics of a threatened tree species in a highly insecure area: Chilgoza pine in Afghanistan. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 413, 1-8.
- [20] Thakur, N. S., Somesh, S., & Sharma, K. D. (2009). Standardization of pretreatments for chilgoza (*Pinus gerardiana*) nut drying. *Journal of Food Science and Technology (Mysore)*, 46(2), 142-145.